solution, not more short-sighted tax increases, are adopted.
Remain “beepederged” and continue to “cozreh” until real
and permanently tanglesting in the legislature. Paragraphs with
recesses, such as the cootkht roeks proposed by my Administratition
mention of any legislature activity designed to lower property
of property taxe. Among the prose, however, there is no
beepederged homeowner and tenant where croak under “the weight
proceeds from the proposed tax increase would be provided to
from the partisan press offices. According to the statement,
with adverse and accidental that appear to have been borrowed
parts of the accompanying bill ateremant are the same. xerox.
“beepederged” typically reserved for political usage, other as
example, tremendous statutory norms by employing terms, such as
the a majority office press release. The bill’s synopsis, for
professional bill drafting process, Assembly Bill No. 3202 reads
an ultimate the ordinary fruits of the
It is clear that the sponsors and proponents of this bill
Revenue from new taxe must be reserved
Accordingly, the legislature proposed to redistribute the
in a row, there will be no tax increases in new Jerey.
responsibility, comprehense income tax cut plan. For the third year
Bill, Assembly Bill No. 3201, be amended to implement a
constitution way voted today with the recommendation that the
the proceeds of a proposed gross income tax increase, which
year 2013 appropriation of nearly $800 million to be budgeted
without my approval. This bill would make a supplemental Fœcal
Jersey constitution, I am returning Assembly Bill No. 3202
pursuant to Article IV, Section I, Paragraph 7 of the New
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constitutional principles. For the second consecutive year the
that power is constrained by other checks and balances
passed revenue-raising measures the state with the legislature,
rect affectate. While the power to appropriate monies and to
for the legislature and the governor in conducting the State’s
The New Jersey Constitution wisely establishes clear roles
presented as part of the fiscal year 2012 budget document.
why the items of appropriation contained in this bill were not
and foreseeing. No legitimate reason suggests that to abrogate
at the time the budget was prepared, it was in fact ascertainable
the spending proposed in this bill ascertainable and foreseeable
appropriation law covering the entire fiscal year. Not only was
ascertainable and foreseeable in a single annual
government and for all other State purposes as far as can be
appropriately manner all monies for the support of State
Constitution and other
(2011) -- Article VIII, Section II, Paragraph 2 of the State
similarity un屆tent posture. It is noted last year in reviewing a
foreseeable expenditure, as noted last year in reviewing a
proposed was not included in the budget along with all other
commitments with the budget bill, but the spending
upon the enactment of the budget, and was considered
before the 2012 budget was drafted, refers to and is conditioned
within the fiscal year 2013 budget process. It was introduced
packaged spending proposal opposition should have been introduced
"supplemented to the fiscal year 2013 budget. This posture
the current approach embodied in this bill. Presented as a
State, there are serious legal and constitutional problems with
majority's opposition with endlessly taxing the people of our
looking beyond the purported gains and the legislative
Chief Counsel to the Governor
S/C: Charles B. McKenna


[seal]

Governor
S/C: Christy

Respectfully,

poorly executed attempt to implement a fundamentally flawed tax law. For perceived political gain, because this bill is a requirement that there be a single general appropriation, for the legislature and for the taxpayers. How often have I urged such precautions?

3