VETO MESSAGE:

VETO MESSAGE - No. 74

TO THE SENATE:

I am returning herewith, without my approval, the following bill: Senate Bill Number 6263, entitled:

"AN ACT to amend the criminal procedure law, in relation to desig- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ nating certain employees of the village of Lake George as peace

officers; and providing for the repeal of such provisions upon

expiration thereof"

NOT APPROVED

This bill would grant peace officer status to certain temporary

employees of the Village of Lake George. Although the bill includes

restrictive language that would appear to limit the ability of these

officers to carry firearms, these individuals could carry firearms if

licensed to do so. This bill is one of 16 similar bills that the Legis- $\,$

lature passed this session, each of which would grant peace officer

status to a separate class of employees.

Peace officers have many of the same legal powers as police officers, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

including the power to use force to make arrests, make warrantless

arrests, conduct warrantless searches and issue appearance tickets.

These are very far-reaching powers that should not be granted lightly, $\$

and should only be granted to those who have received sufficient training.

Unfortunately, our current laws governing the training of peace offi-

cers are inadequate. The Municipal Police Training Council is authorized

to set training requirements for peace officers, but is limited to

requiring no more than 35 hours of training for full-time peace offi-

cers, and 10 hours of training for part-time peace officers. A basic

training course for police officers, in contrast, must include a curric-

ulum of at least 475 hours of instruction and a supervised field $\operatorname{train-}$

ing and orientation program of at least $160\ \mathrm{hours}$, for a total of at

least 635 hours.

 $\ensuremath{\,\textsc{I}}$ do not think we should be expanding the categories of individuals

who are granted peace officer status until we have amended our current

laws to ensure that these individuals are adequately trained. Moreover, $\$

most of the peace officer bills that have been presented for $\ \mbox{my}$ review

fail to provide an adequate justification explaining why these individ-

uals need peace officer powers.

The memorandum in support of this bill states that the Village of Lake $\,$

George needs summer employees to patrol streets, enforce local laws and

direct traffic, and I am informed that the village has developed a

summer hiring program with some good features. However, this bill does

not provide the right legal framework for such a program. In particular, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

it $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

are meant to be more narrowly limited. In addition, although the func-

tions of these employees are somewhat limited, they still should undergo $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

proper law enforcement training. Moreover, under current law, peace

officers can have up to twelve months to complete their training, so

there would be a possibility that these summer-season peace officers $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

would not receive even the minimal training required by law.

Rather than grant peace officer status through individual bills passed

for small groups within specific municipalities, the Legislature should

address this issue on a more comprehensive basis, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

categories of employees may need peace officer powers on a statewide

basis. When appropriate, such grant of powers can be made subject to the

approval of the local municipality.

I have asked my staff, the Division of Criminal Justice Services and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

other affected agencies to work with the Legislature during the remain-

der of this year to review this issue carefully and to develop appropri-

ate criteria under which peace officer status should be granted in the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

future, including a review of training requirements and appropriate $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

restrictions on the ability to carry firearms.

The bill is disapproved.

(signed) ELIOT SPITZER