VETO MESSAGE:

VETO MESSAGE - No. 86

TO THE SENATE:

I am returning herewith, without my approval, the following bill: Senate Bill Number 577-A, entitled:

"AN ACT to amend the racing, pari-mutuel wagering and breeding law, $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =0$

in relation to authorizing the payment of rebates $\$ on $\$ parimutuel

wagers"

NOT APPROVED

This bill provides statutory authority for the Racing and Wagering

Board (RWB) to authorize player reward rebate programs at racetracks and

off-track betting (OTB) corporations. Under the bill, rebates could

include awards of merchandise, free or reduced cost pari-mutuel wagers,

monetary awards, or services such as meals, parking, admissions or $\operatorname{seat-}$

ing. The player reward programs would be subject to annual approval by

the RWB. OTB corporations would only be authorized to provide rebates $\$

on wagers on races conducted at tracks that offer rebates. Racetracks

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{may}}$ provide rebates on wagers on simulcast races with the approval of

the track conducting the race.

The sponsors of the bill cite increased competition from off-shore

betting houses that offer rebate programs for their racing events as

justification for this legislation, as well as the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

"statutory framework to assist a recetrack or OTB to develop and $\ensuremath{\operatorname{imple-}}$

ment a rebate program." Unfortunately, the statutory framework set up

under this bill is poorly drafted and confusing, thereby making it

difficult for the RWB, racetracks and OTB corporations to implement.

For example, the bill provides three different definitions for

"rebate" - one is a statutory definition, the second authorizes a ${\tt defi-}$

nition in regulations with a suggested list of items that can be

included, and the third also authorizes a regulatory definition, but

provides a mandatory list of items that must be included. Such a confus-

ing statutory framework will not benefit the RWB, racetracks or $\ensuremath{\mathtt{OTB}}$

corporations that want to develop and implement a rebate program.

Finally, it is not even clear that this bill is necessary, because $\ensuremath{\mathtt{RWB}}$

already $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

has approved such programs for New York Racing Association (NYRA) tracks

and the Capital Region OTB Corporation.

I share the desire of the sponsors of this bill to help $\operatorname{\ensuremath{\text{New}}}$ $\operatorname{\ensuremath{\text{York}}}$

State's racing industry remain competitive with foreign racing inter-

ests. However, that desire must be tempered by the need to enact laws

that are necessary and can be understood by all those subject to its

terms. This bill does not meet that test.

The bill is disapproved.

(signed) ELIOT SPITZER