

AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 11, 2019

AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 17, 2019

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 16, 2019

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 10, 2019

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2019–20 REGULAR SESSION

## **ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 824**

---

**Introduced by Assembly Member Wood  
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Melendez)**

February 20, 2019

---

An act to add Division 114.01 (commencing with Section 134000) to the Health and Safety Code, relating to business.

### **LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST**

AB 824, as amended, Wood. Business: preserving access to affordable drugs.

The Cartwright Act makes every trust, subject to specified exemptions, unlawful, against public policy, and void and defines “trust” for purposes of the act as a combination of capital, skill, or acts by 2 or more persons, defined as corporations, firms, partnerships, and associations, for certain designated purposes. Under existing law, these purposes include creating or carrying out restrictions in trade or commerce or preventing competition in manufacturing, marketing, transportation, sale, or purchase of merchandise, produce, or any commodity. The Unfair Practices Act makes certain business practices unlawful, including unfair competition. Under existing law, unfair competition is defined to include an unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice,

unfair, deceptive, untrue, or misleading advertising, and any false representations to the public.

This bill would provide that an agreement resolving or settling, on a final or interim basis, a patent infringement claim, in connection with the sale of a pharmaceutical product, is to be presumed to have anticompetitive effects if a nonreference drug filer receives anything of value *value, as defined*, from another company asserting patent infringement and if the nonreference drug filer agrees to limit or forego research, development, manufacturing, marketing, or sales of the nonreference drug filer's product for any period of time, as specified. The bill would provide various exceptions to this prohibition, including, among others, if the agreement has directly generated procompetitive benefits that could not be achieved by less restrictive means and that the procompetitive benefits of the agreement outweigh the anticompetitive effects of the agreement. The bill would make a violation of these provisions punishable by a civil penalty, *as specified, and penalty that is recoverable only in a civil action brought by the Attorney General, as specified.* The bill would provide that a violator is liable for any other remedies available under the Cartwright Act, the Unfair Practices Act, or the unfair competition law. The bill would require a cause of action to enforce those provisions be commenced within 4 years after the course of action accrued. The bill would define various terms for these purposes.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Division 114.01 (commencing with Section  
2 134000) is added to the Health and Safety Code, to read:

3  
4 DIVISION 114.01. PRESERVING ACCESS TO  
5 AFFORDABLE DRUGS

6  
7 134000. For purposes of this division:  
8 (a) "ANDA" means abbreviated new drug application.  
9 (b) "ANDA filer" means a party that owns or controls an ANDA  
10 filed with the Food and Drug Administration or has the exclusive  
11 rights under that ANDA to distribute the ANDA product.

1       (c) “Agreement” means anything that would constitute an  
2 agreement under California state law or a “trust” under the  
3 Cartwright Act (Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 16700) of  
4 Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code).

5       (d) “Agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement  
6 claim” includes any agreement that is entered into within 30 days  
7 of the resolution or the settlement of the claim, or any other  
8 agreement that is contingent upon, provides a contingent condition  
9 for, or is otherwise related to the resolution or settlement of the  
10 claim. This shall include, but is not limited to, the following:

11       (1) Any agreement required to be provided to the Federal Trade  
12 Commission or the Antitrust Division of the United States  
13 Department of Justice under the Medicare Prescription Drug,  
14 Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Public Law  
15 108-173).

16       (2) Any agreement between a biosimilar or interchangeable  
17 product applicant and a reference product sponsor under the  
18 Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 (BPCIA)  
19 (Public Law 111-148) that resolves patent claims between the  
20 applicant and sponsor.

21       (e) “Biosimilar biological product application filer” means a  
22 party that owns or controls a biosimilar biological product  
23 application filed with the Food and Drug Administration under  
24 Section 351(k) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(k))  
25 for licensure of a biological product as biosimilar to, or  
26 interchangeable with, a reference product, or that has the exclusive  
27 rights under the application to distribute the biosimilar biological  
28 product.

29       (f) “NDA” means new drug application.

30       (g) “Nonreference drug filer” means either:

31       (1) An ANDA filer.

32       (2) A biosimilar biological product application filer.

33       (h) “Nonreference drug product” means the product to be  
34 manufactured under an ANDA that is the subject of the patent  
35 infringement claim, a biosimilar biological product that is the  
36 product to be manufactured under the biosimilar biological product  
37 application that is the subject of the patent infringement claim, or  
38 both.

39       (i) “Patent infringement” means infringement of any patent or  
40 of any filed patent application, extension, reissue, renewal, division,

1 continuation, continuation in part, reexamination, patent term  
2 restoration, patents of addition, and extensions thereof.

3 (j) “Patent infringement claim” means any allegation made to  
4 a nonreference drug filer, whether or not included in a complaint  
5 filed with a court of law, that its nonreference drug product or  
6 application infringes any patent held by, or exclusively licensed  
7 to, the reference drug holder.

8 (k) “Reference drug holder” means either:

9 (1) A brand holder that is any of the following:

10 (A) The holder of an approved NDA for a drug product  
11 application filed under Section 505(b) of the Federal Food, Drug,  
12 and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(b)).

13 (B) A person owning or controlling enforcement of the patent  
14 listed in the Approved Drug Products With Therapeutic  
15 Equivalence Evaluations (commonly known as the “FDA Orange  
16 Book”) in connection with the NDA.

17 (C) The predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, groups, and  
18 affiliates controlled by, controlling, or under common control with,  
19 any of the entities described in subparagraph (A) or (B), with  
20 control to be presumed by direct or indirect share ownership of 50  
21 percent or greater, as well as the licensees, licensors, successors,  
22 and assigns of each of those entities.

23 (2) A biological product licenseholder, which means any of the  
24 following:

25 (A) The holder of an approved biological product license  
26 application for a biological drug product under Section 351(a) of  
27 the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 262(a)).

28 (B) A person owning or controlling enforcement of any patents  
29 that claim the biological product that is the subject of the approved  
30 biological patent license application.

31 (C) The predecessors, subsidiaries, divisions, groups, and  
32 affiliates controlled by, controlling, or under common control with,  
33 any of the entities described in subparagraph (A) or (B), with  
34 control to be presumed by direct or indirect share ownership of 50  
35 percent or greater, as well as the licensees, licensors, successors,  
36 and assigns of each of those entities.

37 (l) “Reference drug product” means the product to be  
38 manufactured by the reference drug holder and includes both  
39 branded drugs of the NDA holder and the biologic drug product  
40 of the biologic product license applicant.

1       (m) “Statutory exclusivity” means those prohibitions on the  
2 approval of drug applications under clauses (ii) through (iv),  
3 inclusive, of Section 505(c)(3)(E) (5-year and 3-year data  
4 exclusivity), Section 527 (orphan drug exclusivity), or Section  
5 505A (pediatric exclusivity), of the Federal Food, Drug, and  
6 Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 355(c)(3)(E), 360cc, and 355a,  
7 respectively) or on the licensing of biological product applications  
8 under Section 262(k)(7) of Title 42 of the United States Code  
9 (12-year exclusivity) or Section 262(m)(2) or (3) of Title 42 of the  
10 United States Code (pediatric exclusivity).

11       134002. (a) (1) ~~Notwithstanding any other law, and subject~~  
12 ~~to paragraph (2), Except as provided in paragraph (3),~~ an  
13 agreement resolving or settling, on a final or interim basis, a patent  
14 infringement claim, in connection with the sale of a pharmaceutical  
15 product, shall be presumed to have anticompetitive effects and  
16 shall be a violation of this section if both of the following apply:

17       (A) A nonreference drug filer receives anything of value from  
18 another company asserting patent infringement, including, but not  
19 limited to, an exclusive license or a promise that the brand company  
20 will not launch an authorized generic version of ~~their~~ *its* brand  
21 drug.

22       (B) The nonreference drug filer agrees to limit or forego  
23 research, development, manufacturing, marketing, or sales of the  
24 nonreference drug filer’s product for any period of time.

25       (2) *As used in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1), “anything*  
26 *of value” does not include a settlement of a patent infringement*  
27 *claim in which the consideration granted by the brand or reference*  
28 *drug filer to the nonreference drug filer as part of the resolution*  
29 *or settlement consists of only one or more of the following:*

30       (A) *The right to market the competing product in the United*  
31 *States before the expiration of either:*

32       (i) *A patent that is the basis for the patent infringement claim.*  
33       (ii) *A patent right or other statutory exclusivity that would*  
34 *prevent the marketing of the drug.*

35       (B) *A covenant not to sue on a claim that the nonreference drug*  
36 *product infringes a United States patent.*

37       (C) *Compensation for saved reasonable future litigation*  
38 *expenses of the reference drug holder but only if both of the*  
39 *following are true:*

1       (i) The total compensation for saved litigation expenses is  
2 reflected in budgets that the reference drug holder documented  
3 and adopted at least six months before the settlement.

4       (ii) The compensation does not exceed the lower of the  
5 following:

6           (I) Seven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$7,500,000).

7           (II) Five percent of the revenue that the nonreference drug  
8 holder projected or forecasted it would receive in the first three  
9 years of sales of its version of the reference drug documented at  
10 least 12 months before the settlement. If no projections or forecasts  
11 are available, the compensation does not exceed two hundred fifty  
12 thousand dollars (\$250,000).

13       (D) An agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement  
14 claim that permits a nonreference drug filer to begin selling,  
15 offering for sale, or distributing the nonreference drug product if  
16 the reference drug holder seeks approval to launch, obtains  
17 approval to launch, or launches a different dosage, strength, or  
18 form of the reference drug having the same active ingredient before  
19 the date set by the agreement for entry of the nonreference drug  
20 filer. A different form of the reference drug does not include an  
21 authorized generic version of the reference drug.

22       (E) An agreement by the reference drug holder not to interfere  
23 with the nonreference drug filer's ability to secure and maintain  
24 regulatory approval to market the nonreference drug product or  
25 an agreement to facilitate the nonreference drug filer's ability to  
26 secure and maintain regulatory approval to market the  
27 nonreference drug product.

28       (F) An agreement resolving a patent infringement claim in which  
29 the reference drug holder forgives the potential damages accrued  
30 by a nonreference drug holder for an at-risk launch of the  
31 nonreference drug product that is the subject of that claim.

32       ~~(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), parties~~

33       (3) Parties to an agreement are not in violation of paragraph  
34 (1) if they can demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence  
35 that either of the following are met:

36       (A) The value received by the nonreference drug filer described  
37 in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) is a fair and reasonable  
38 compensation solely for other goods or services that the  
39 nonreference drug filer has promised to provide.

1       (B) The agreement has directly generated procompetitive  
2 benefits that could not be achieved by less restrictive means, and  
3 that the procompetitive benefits of the agreement outweigh the  
4 anticompetitive effects of the agreement.

5       (b) In determining whether the parties to the agreement have  
6 met their burden under paragraph-(2) (3) of subdivision (a), the  
7 factfinder shall not presume any of the following:

8       (1) That entry into the marketplace could not have occurred  
9 until the expiration of the relevant patent exclusivity or that the  
10 agreement's provision for entry of the nonreference drug product  
11 before the expiration of any patent exclusivity means that the  
12 agreement is procompetitive within the meaning of subparagraph  
13 (B) of paragraph-(2) (3) of subdivision (a).

14       (2) That any patent is enforceable and infringed by the  
15 nonreference drug filer in the absence of a final adjudication  
16 binding on the filer of those issues.

17       (3) That the agreement caused no delay in entry of the  
18 nonreference drug filer's drug product because of the lack of  
19 federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of that or  
20 of another nonreference drug product.

21       (4) That the agreement caused no harm or delay due to the  
22 possibility that the nonreference drug filer's drug product might  
23 infringe some patent that has not been asserted against the  
24 nonreference drug filer or that is not subject to a final and binding  
25 adjudication on that filer as to the patent's scope, enforceability,  
26 and infringement.

27       (5) *This subdivision shall not be construed to preclude a party  
28 from introducing evidence regarding paragraphs (1) to (4),  
29 inclusive, and shall not be construed to preclude the factfinder  
30 from making a determination regarding paragraphs (1) to (4),  
31 inclusive, based on the full scope of the evidence.*

32       (c) In determining whether the parties to the agreement have  
33 met their burden under paragraph-(2) (3) of subdivision (a), the  
34 factfinder shall presume that the relevant product market is that  
35 market consisting of the brand or reference drug of the company  
36 alleging patent infringement and the drug product of the  
37 nonreference company accused of ~~infringement~~. *infringement and*  
38 *any other biological product that is licensed as biosimilar or is*  
39 *an AB-rated generic to the reference product.*

1       (d) This section does not prohibit a resolution or settlement of  
2 a patent infringement claim in which the consideration granted by  
3 the brand or reference drug filer to the nonreference drug filer as  
4 part of the resolution or settlement includes only one or more of  
5 the following:

6       (1) The right to market the competing product in the United  
7 States before the expiration of either:

8       (A) Any patent that is the basis for the patent infringement  
9 claim.

10      (B) Any patent right or other statutory exclusivity that would  
11 prevent the marketing of the drug.

12      (2) A covenant not to sue on any claim that the nonreference  
13 drug product infringes a United States patent.

14      (3) Compensation for saved reasonable future litigation expenses  
15 of the reference drugholder, but only if both of the following are  
16 true:

17       (A) The total compensation for saved litigation expenses is  
18 reflected in budgets that the reference drugholder documented and  
19 adopted at least six months before the settlement.

20       (B) The compensation does not exceed the lower of the  
21 following:

22       (i) Seven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$7,500,000).

23       (ii) Five percent of the revenue that the nonreference drugholder  
24 projected or forecasted it would receive in the first three years of  
25 sales of its version of the reference drug documented at least 12  
26 months before the settlement. If no projections or forecasts are  
27 available, the compensation does not exceed two hundred fifty  
28 thousand dollars (\$250,000).

29       (4) An agreement resolving or settling a patent infringement  
30 claim that permits a nonreference drug filer to begin selling,  
31 offering for sale, or distributing the nonreference drug product if  
32 the reference drug holder seeks approval to launch, obtains  
33 approval to launch, or launches a different dosage, strength, or  
34 form of the reference drug having the same active ingredient prior  
35 to the date set by the agreement for entry of the nonreference drug  
36 filer. A different form of the reference drug does not include an  
37 authorized generic version of the reference drug.

38       (e)

39       (d) (1) This section does not modify, impair, limit, or supersede  
40 the applicability of the antitrust laws of California as defined in

1 the Cartwright Act (Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 16700)  
2 of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code), the  
3 Unfair Practices Act (Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 17000)  
4 of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code), or  
5 the unfair competition law (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section  
6 17200) of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions  
7 Code), or the availability of damages or remedies provided therein.  
8 This section does not modify, impair, limit, or supersede the right  
9 of any drug company applicant to assert claims or counterclaims  
10 against any person, under the antitrust laws or other laws relating  
11 to unfair competition of the federal antitrust law or state law.

12 (2) If any provision of this division, an amendment made to this  
13 division, or the application of any provision or amendment to any  
14 person or circumstance is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder  
15 of this division, the amendments made to this division, and the  
16 application of the provisions of this division or amendments to  
17 any person or circumstance shall not be affected.

18 ~~(f)~~

19 (e) (1) (A) Each person that violates or assists in the violation  
20 of this section shall forfeit and pay to the State of California a civil  
21 penalty sufficient to deter violations of this section, as follows:

22 (i) If the person who violated this section received any value  
23 due to that violation, an amount up to three times the value received  
24 by the party that is reasonably attributable to the violation of this  
25 section, or twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000), whichever is  
26 greater.

27 (ii) If the violator has not received anything of value as described  
28 in clause (i), an amount up to three times the value given to other  
29 parties to the agreement reasonably attributable to the violation of  
30 this section, or twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000), whichever  
31 is greater.

32 (iii) For purposes of this subdivision, “reasonably attributable  
33 to the violation” shall be determined by California’s share of the  
34 market for the brand drug at issue in the agreement.

35 (B) Any penalty *described in subparagraph (A)* shall accrue  
36 *only to the State of California and may shall* be recovered in a civil  
37 action brought by the Attorney General in its own name, or by any  
38 of its attorneys designated by it for that purpose, against any party  
39 to an agreement that violates this section.

1       (2) Each party that violates or assists in the violation of this  
2 section shall be liable for any damages, penalties, costs, fees,  
3 injunctions, or other remedies that may be just and reasonable and  
4 available under the Cartwright Act (Chapter 2 (commencing with  
5 Section 16700) of Part 2 of Division 7 of the Business and  
6 Professions Code), the Unfair Practices Act (Chapter 4  
7 (commencing with Section 17000) of Part 2 of Division 7 of the  
8 Business and Professions Code), or the unfair competition law  
9 (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 17200) of Part 2 of Division  
10 7 of the Business and Professions Code), as applicable.

11     (3) If the State of California is awarded penalties under  
12 subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1), it may not recover penalties  
13 pursuant to another law identified in paragraph (2). This section  
14 shall not be construed to foreclose the State of California's ability  
15 to claim any relief or damages available in paragraph (2), other  
16 than those that are penalties.

17     (4) An action to enforce a cause of action for a violation of this  
18 section shall be commenced within four years after the cause of  
19 action accrued.

20     SEC. 2. The provisions of this act are severable. If any  
21 provision of this act or its application is held invalid, that invalidity  
22 shall not affect other provisions or applications that can be given  
23 effect without the invalid provision or application.