ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL NO. 1602

By: Sullivan, Tibbs, Derby and Liebmann of the House

and

Coffee of the Senate

An Act relating to attorneys and the state bar; ordering a legislative referendum pursuant to the Oklahoma Constitution; limiting amount that an attorney shall charge, demand, receive, or collect for services; repealing 5 O.S. 2001, Section 7, which relates to contingency fees; providing for codification; providing an effective date; providing ballot title; and directing filing.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA:

SECTION 6. Pursuant to Section 3 of Article V of the Oklahoma Constitution, there is hereby ordered the following legislative referendum which shall be filed with the Secretary of State and addressed to the Governor of the state, who shall submit the same to the people for their approval or rejection at the next General Election, to be held on November 2, 2010.

SECTION 6. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 7.2 of Title 5, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

No attorney shall charge, demand, receive, or collect for

services rendered pursuant to a contingency fee arrangement, fees in excess of thirty-three percent (33%) of the first One Million

Dollars (\$1,000,000.00) recovered and twenty percent (20%) of any amount exceeding One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00) of any civil judgment or of any settlement made pursuant to the laws of this state.

SECTION 6. REPEALER 5 O.S. 2001, Section 7, is hereby repealed.

SECTION 6. This act shall become effective December 1, 2010.

SECTION 6. The Ballot Title for the proposed legislative referendum as set forth in SECTIONS 2 and 3 of this act shall be in the following form:

BALLOT TITLE

Legi	slati	lve	Refe	erendum	No		_		State	Question	No.	
THE	GIST	OF	THE	PROPOSI	ITION	IS	AS	FOLLOWS:				

This measure would amend the Oklahoma Statutes. It would add a new Section 7.2 of Title 5 of the Oklahoma Statutes. It would require that a lawyer could not charge more than a certain percentage of what a client would win in a court case. It would also repeal a section of law that already exists.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE	PROI	POSAL - YES	
AGAINST	THE	PROPOSAL - NO	

SECTION 6. The Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives

1	
2	shall, immediately after the passage of this act, prepare and file
3	one copy thereof, including the Ballot Title set forth in SECTION 5
4	hereof, with the Secretary of State and one copy with the Attorney
5	General.
6	Passed the House of Representatives the 18th day of February,
7	2009.
8	
9	
10	Presiding Officer of the House of
11	Representatives
12	Passed the Senate the day of, 2009.
13	 -
14	
15	Presiding Officer of the Senate
16	riediaing drifted of the bonace
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	