Second Regular Session Sixty-sixth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

REVISED

This Version Includes All Amendments Adopted on Second Reading in the Second House

LLS NO. 08-0274.01 Julie Pelegrin

HOUSE BILL 08-1186

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

Solano,

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Windels,

House Committees

Senate Committees

Education

Education

A BILL FOR AN ACT

101 CONCERNING THE EXCEPTION OF CERTAIN STUDENTS' SCORES FROM
102 CALCULATIONS OF A SCHOOL'S ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted.)

Excepts from the calculations of a school's academic performance ratings and from determining a school district's accreditation the Colorado student assessment the scores of students who are absent on the days assessments are administered under the Colorado student assessment program ("CSAPs") and students' scores that are invalidated as a result of a failure to administer the assessment pursuant to the department of education's guidelines. Prohibits a school district or a public school from

SENATE
Am ended 2nd Reading

HOUSE 3rd Reading Unam ended February 18, 2008

HOUSE Am ended 2nd Reading February 15,2008 penalizing a student who is absent on the days the CSAPs are administered.

1 Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: 2 **SECTION 1. Legislative declaration.** (1) The general assembly 3 hereby finds that: 4 In 2000, the general assembly enacted section 22-7-604, 5 Colorado Revised Statutes, pursuant to which the department of education 6 annually calculates academic performance ratings for each public school 7 based on the scores attained by students enrolled in the school on the 8 Colorado student assessment program tests; 9 (b) The formula for calculating the academic performance ratings 10 includes weighting the students' scores based on the proficiency level 11 reflected by each score; 12 If a student is absent for one of the Colorado student 13 assessment program tests, and does not subsequently make up the test, 14 that student is assigned a zero score, which falls at the proficiency level 15 of "unsatisfactory", which receives a weighting of -0.5; 16 Further, if a teacher inadvertently fails to follow the 17 department of education's guidelines in administering the test, all of the 18 students in the class receive a zero score, again increasing the percentage 19 of scores for that school that receive a weighting of -0.5; 20 (e) Thus, the formula for calculating academic performance 21 ratings unfairly penalizes a school for each student who misses one of the 22 Colorado student assessment program tests or for an inadvertent error in 23 administering a test, regardless of why the student did not take the test 24 and regardless of the student's actual knowledge level with respect to the 25 subject matter;

-2-

1	(f) Parental choice in education has been a guiding tenet in
2	education policy in this state for several years and is a core value of the
3	statewide system of public education in Colorado;
4	(g) Parents are in the best position to decide what is best and
5	appropriate for their children's education, whether the decision pertains
6	to the educational setting in which the child should be placed, the
7	educational services the child should receive, or whether the child should
8	participate in statewide testing;
9	(h) While federal law requires schools to encourage universal
10	participation in the Colorado student assessment program tests, the state
11	must recognize and honor a parent's choice with regard to whether the
12	parent's child participates in the tests.
13	(2) The general assembly concludes, therefore, that a school's
14	overall academic performance rating should be an authentic reflection of
15	what the students in the school know and are able to demonstrate. In
16	calculating an overall academic performance rating, a school should not
17	be punished for students who are absent due to a parent's refusal to allow
18	his or her child to participate in the testing and a school should not be
19	punished because of an inadvertent failure to follow departmental
20	guidelines in administering the test. Thus, if a school's overall academic
21	performance rating is reduced due to student absences or an inadvertent
22	error in administering the test, the school should have the opportunity to
23	explain the rating to the parents of students enrolled in the school.
24	
25	SECTION 2. 22-7-604, Colorado Revised Statutes, is amended
26	BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:
27	22-7-604. Academic performance - academic growth of

-3-

1	students - rating - designation and methodology. (9) (a) FOLLOWING
2	THE CALCULATION OF A PUBLIC SCHOOL'S OVERALL ACADEMIC GROWTH
3	RATING PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL DETERMINE
4	WHETHER THE PUBLIC SCHOOL WOULD HAVE RECEIVED A HIGHER RATING
5	IF THE CALCULATIONS HAD NOT INCLUDED ONE OR MORE OF THE
6	FOLLOWING GROUPS:
7	(I) STUDENTS WHO WERE ABSENT ON THE DAYS THE ASSESSMENTS
8	WERE ADMINISTERED AND WHO DID NOT TAKE THE ASSESSMENTS AT A
9	LATER DATE;
10	(II) STUDENTS WHO DID NOT COMPLETE ONE OR MORE OF THE
11	ASSESSMENTS; OR
12	(III) STUDENTS WHOSE SCORES WERE INVALIDATED BECAUSE OF
13	AN INADVERTENT FAILURE TO ADMINISTER THE ASSESSMENT PURSUANT TO
14	THE DEPARTMENT'S GUIDELINES.
15	(b) The department shall notify a public school if it
16	DETERMINES THAT THE PUBLIC SCHOOL WOULD HAVE RECEIVED A HIGHER
17	ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE RATING IF ONE OR MORE OF THE GROUPS
18	SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (a) OF THIS SUBSECTION (9) HAD NOT BEEN
19	INCLUDED IN CALCULATING THE PUBLIC SCHOOL'S ACADEMIC
20	PERFORMANCE RATING.
21	(c) The school district of a public school, a district
22	CHARTER SCHOOL, OR AN INSTITUTE CHARTER SCHOOL THAT RECEIVES A
23	NOTIFICATION PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH (b) OF THIS SUBSECTION (9) MAY,
24	AT ITS OWN EXPENSE, CHOOSE TO DISTRIBUTE WITH THE PUBLIC SCHOOL'S
25	ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT AN EXPLANATION OF HOW THE LOWER
26	ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE RATING WAS CALCULATED AND THE RATING THE
27	PUBLIC SCHOOL WOULD HAVE RECEIVED IF THE CALCULATIONS HAD NOT

-4- 1186

1	INCLUDED ONE OR MORE OF THE GROUPS SPECIFIED IN PARAGRAPH (a) OF
2	THIS SUBSECTION (9). AN EXPLANATION INCLUDED PURSUANT TO THIS
3	PARAGRAPH (c) SHALL NOT BE DEEMED TO REFUTE THE RATINGS OR
4	STATEMENTS MADE IN THE SCHOOL ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT.
5	SECTION 3. Article 32 of title 22, Colorado Revised Statutes, is
6	amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION to read:
7	22-32-138. Colorado student assessment program - student
8	participation - no penalty. (1) A SCHOOL DISTRICT OR A PUBLIC SCHOOL
9	SHALL NOT PENALIZE A STUDENT WHO IS ABSENT DURING THE
10	ADMINISTRATION OF AN ASSESSMENT ADMINISTERED PURSUANT TO
11	SECTION 22-7-409. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, A PENALTY SHALL
12	INCLUDE, BUT NEED NOT BE LIMITED TO:
13	(a) WITHHOLDING OF CREDITS TOWARD GRADUATION OR DENYING
14	A STUDENT THE ABILITY TO GRADUATE OR RECEIVE A DIPLOMA;
15	(b) DENYING A STUDENT THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN AN
16	EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM OR AN EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITY; OR
17	(c) Denying a student the ability to advance to a
18	SUBSEQUENT GRADE LEVEL.
19	(2) THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION SHALL NOT BE INTERPRETED
20	TO PROHIBIT A SCHOOL DISTRICT FROM ENFORCING COMPULSORY
21	ATTENDANCE REQUIREMENTS AS PROVIDED IN THE SCHOOL DISTRICT
22	ATTENDANCE POLICY ADOPTED PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 33 OF THIS TITLE.
23	SECTION 4. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds,
24	determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate
25	preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

-5- 1186