VETO MESSAGE:

VETO MESSAGE - No. 8

TO THE SENATE:

I am returning herewith, without my approval, the following bill: Senate Bill Number 2006, entitled:

"AN ACT authorizing the towns of Richfield, Otsego and Exeter in the $\,$

county of Otsego to establish a lake improvement district"

NOT APPROVED

This bill would authorize three towns within Otsego County -- the

Towns of Exeter, Otsego and Richfield -- to create a lake improvement

district to prevent flooding of the properties surrounding Canadarago

Lake, including necessary dredging and improvements to the Panther Creek

Mountain Dam.

On April 23, 2007, I issued an Executive Order creating the $\,{\rm New}\,$ York

State Commission on Local Government Efficiency and Competitiveness

("Commission"). That Commission was created, in part, because of my

concern about the proliferation of separate taxing jurisdictions

throughout the State -- now totaling more than 4,200 -- and the impact

that these often overlapping jurisdictions have on homeowners, busi-

nesses, and the State's economy. Among other things, the Commission $\mbox{\em will}$

be studying whether many $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =0$ of these jurisdictions are necessary, the

extent to which some can be merged or abolished, and how our laws can be

changed to facilitate local government consolidations and sharing of services among municipalities. The Commission will issue a final

services among municipalities. The Commission will issue a final report in April 2008.

I cannot sign legislation creating this type of special assessment

jurisdiction at this time, because I firmly believe that we need to

decrease, not increase, the number of such jurisdictions. I agree that

Canadarago Lake and the Panther Creek Mountain Dam need improvements to

address $\$ repeated $\$ flooding that has caused extensive property damage in

recent years, but there are several ways this can be accomplished with-

out the creation of a special district.

First, Canadarago Lake Improvement Association asserts that it owns

the dam, and the owners of the over 600 parcels of land surrounding the $\,$

lake $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$ who will be the primary beneficiaries of the improvements $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$ can

contribute funds to the association to undertake this work. Second, if

Otsego County or the three affected towns determine that the improve-

ments would provide a general "public benefit," then any of those local

governments (either alone or in combination) could undertake this work

using $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

none of those options is pursued, then I could support legislation $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

authorizing Otsego County and/or the three towns to undertake the neces- $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

sary improvements, and to directly assess any additional costs not

covered by grant funding as charges against the lakefront properties.

Creating a new special assessment district is far less desirable than ${\ }$

any of the above three options. Once the district is created, it will

need employees and office space, and will have to incur other overhead

costs. In addition, those new employees will need to have the expertise

to hire contractors and oversee the work on an ongoing basis. Moreover,

even after all the improvements are made, the special district will

continue to exist, and will continue to collect assessments from the $\,$

homeowners, simply to keep itself in operation. In effect, we will be

creating another layer of government, even though Otsego County already

has the employees and infrastructure to do this task, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

the towns and the affected homeowners.

In $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ sum, this bill is unnecessary. To the extent that Otsego County or

the affected towns need assistance in identifying the public benefits $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1$

that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) ^{2}$ will result from the anticipated improvements, or need assistance

in deciding how best to arrange for the improvements to be made, both $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$

office and the Commission on Local Government $\,$ Efficiency $\,$ and $\,$ Competi- $\,$

tiveness are available to assist them.

The bill is disapproved.

(signed) ELIOT SPITZER